AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
	District of Nebraska		
***************************************	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
		TATO	
		NIAL	
NORBERTO MORALES-CHAVEZ Case 4:10MJ3047			
Tm o	Defendant accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts	s require the	
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
Part I—Findings of Fact			
(1)	1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	*	
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.			
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
(3)	3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisor for the offense described in finding (1).	nment	
(4)	4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonable	ly assure the	
	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A)			
(1)	1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(2)	2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reas	onably assure	
<u> </u>	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	•	
Alternative Findings (B)			
□ (1)	, and the second		
(2)	2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	33.50	
		p크를	
		330	
	Part H. Walter State of Date in Section 1975	The Co.	
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention			
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by			
derance of the evidence that I dight - detention houring waived			
	The Dualine		
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention		
The	he defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections faci extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be	lity separate,	
reasonal	reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the		
Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance			
in connection with a court proceeding.			
	September 8, 2010 s/ Cheryl R. Zwart		
	Date Signature of Judicial Office		
	Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S. Magistrate Judge		
	Name and Title of Judicial Officer		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).